## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

May 18, 2005 LB 478

across the state rather than just each of our home counties, if you care to respond.

SENATOR CORNETT: I would definitely agree with you. One of the senators came up to me and said, how can I support this bill when it does not affect any of the retired military in my area and they will be...they will be angry at me?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CORNETT: The same way that I'm going back to my community and my district and saying that this amendment is only going to affect a percentage. And I guarantee you I have more retired military in my district than most of the rest of you combined, and not all of them are happy with me, and I will tell you and everyone here the same thing I have told them, that I will continue to work on this bill during my years in the Legislature.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith, and that was your third time, Senator. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: In fact I'll waive off. And for those (inaudible), I think there are any number of us who are supportive, could get to the vote before we all dissipate for the evening. I think there are some people who continue to want to speak up. My guess is Senator Chambers wants to speak again. I'll just simply waive off this opportunity to talk.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Mines. Senator Mines waives off as well. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, if this amendment is adopted and the bill moves, I'm going to fight it on Select File. There are so many questions that have not been touched at all. But the approach, I think, is totally inappropriate. What is there about security clearance that creates such a distinction between this category of retirees and all others so that they can be singled out and treated in this way without violating the