TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

May 18, 2005 LB 312, 500

forward and state an objection with regard to the competitive element, and how it might affect other competitors or retail competitors. So the process is open at that point. It's open to all interested persons. You could even amend this if you wanted to, and explicitly give people...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...the right the make written objections. The factors that they have to consider are laid out in the statute. You could add a factor requiring that they consider retail competition and the effect on retail composition, although I think, again, they probably could do that on their, on their own motion. But anyway, there are more protections in this bill, against this kind of concern, or with respect to this kind of concern, than there is in LB 775 or LB 312, and this bill is better than even the local powers that we give, in the sense that we will not have an eminent domain prerogative as do local political subdivisions with regard to the TIF matter. So notwithstanding the fact that this deals with entertainment and tourism, it has some protections in it that are not apparent in other things that we've done in the past...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...with respect to the retail competition element.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Chambers, followed by Senator Redfield.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, for a good bill that doesn't need to go back to committee, there is going to be an awful lot of amending attempted. Well, since I will take my whippings, I think I might could have overruled the Chair, but I want the body to live with what the Chair said about not being able to recommit, make a motion to recommit. So as long as this bill is on General File, no motion to recommit is in order, so you're going to plow through every amendment. And I'm going to steal a cliche that the "General" has given us, but he's not here to hear me acknowledge it. I remember it, not