

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 18, 2005

LB 500

courage of my something or other--and courage doesn't even apply; that's why I don't even remember what he was saying--of my beliefs or something. That doesn't even enter into this discussion. Courage is not a factor on the floor of this Legislature. That is just a careless, loose use of words that may have a sound of sense, but they make no sense, and they don't rhyme either. No rhyme nor reason. Now you know what that term, neither rhyme nor reason, mean. I'm going to attack this bill at every opportunity, in every way I can under the rules. Senator Landis is probably on the side of those people in Washington who say you should get rid of the Senate filibuster and give the President an up or down vote on these crazy judges. That's what he believes--let the majority do whatever they want to do. I don't believe that. Maybe if I was in the majority. Maybe if I was carrying water for big business and being praised by all of them, and maybe being lined up for something after I get out of the Legislature. I'm not accusing you, Senator Landis. People are sensitive about that, aren't they, Senator Foley? Okay. Just got to keep this stuff straight. (Laugh) Oh, I enjoy being in the Legislature. If I didn't, I'd be stone crazy. Now, I might drive others a little bit crazy every now and then. But you see, it never gets to me. Because I'm going to do what I'm going to do anyway. Accept it, so you won't get heartburn and headaches and go to secret meetings to try to figure some way to make me shut up, which I'm not going to do on a bad bill like this. Senator Landis wanted to distinguish between his noble attempts at filibuster from what's happening now, by saying that those were moral issues. Who is he to determine what is moral to somebody? When I see the plundering of the public till, I think that rises to a moral issue, because we have a moral responsibility as senators to look after the interests of the people at large. And when you're going to let a big, self-sufficient, greedy retail operation plunder the public till and wipe small businesses out, that is a moral issue. Ask the little businesses that will be wiped out, and ask their families, as some of you who want to talk about family values in other contexts want to belabor. It's a funny thing that I am pointed to as an obstructionist--that term wasn't used, because it hadn't been thought of; it will be used now--when there were 13 lights on to...of people who wanted to speak. That's not what a