

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 17, 2005

LB 312

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Clerk, next amendment, when you get time.

CLERK: Senator Beutler would move to amend, AM1625.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, to open on AM1625.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, I'd withdraw that amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Senator Redfield would move to amend, AM1615, Mr. President. (Legislative Journal page 1549.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Redfield, to open on AM1615 to LB 312.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I have always been a strong supporter of the LB 775 program, as we have established it here in Nebraska. I've shared here on the floor exactly what happened when we had an economic downturn. The fact that companies had invested in their plants, that they had upgraded their equipment, meant that when they had a choice between closing a plant here or closing a plant in another state, it was the Nebraska plant that stayed open. And it also meant, because of the wage requirements...or not, excuse me, not the wage requirements but the job requirements, that when it came time to make a decision as to whether to lay off employees in Nebraska or in another state, that the Nebraska employees remained at the job, receiving a salary and supporting their families and the state. I think that's an important element. One of the portions that was in LB 775 was an investment-only tier. That was a piece that originally we in the Revenue Committee had not put into LB 312, and it was later adopted into the committee amendment by the entire Revenue Committee. One of the concerns that we discussed, and one that I think is very, very important for us to consider as a whole body is the impact that you may have when a company invests \$30 million, which is the investment-only tier, and has no job requirement, which I understand, but that in fact there is no requirement to even maintain the jobs that they currently have. The fear that I would have is that, in