May 17, 2005   LB 312

that it was a rural development bill that had a wage minimum in it, but the wage minimum reflected rural reality, and the reason that we know that is, this bill is getting used. This bill is being used in rural Nebraska. And towards that effect, I'm glad to see that it's been folded into a more expansive program in DED, the Nebraska Advantage Program. I endorse the amendment, AM1608.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Further discussion on the Connealy amendment? Senator Connealy, yours is the last light, if you'd care to speak, or you care to close. You may do whichever you...

SENATOR CONNEALY: Well...thank you, Mr. President. I will speak, because I did just...I was just alerted to a couple of "mays" that should have been "shalls," and I'm going to fix that in a floor amendment here, as I go forward. Once again, this is a mostly technical amendment that does change names and adds filing dates, and dates that would allow us to get the reporting all on January 15 of the years. There was an inadvertent change from when we discussed it, and had the staff write down all the changes, and a couple of "mays" were put in where "shall" should have been, and I'm filing that amendment right now. So I'm going to wait until that comes up to talk about that.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Connealy would move to amend his amendment. (FA269, Legislative Journal page 1541.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Connealy, to open on your amendment to your AM1608.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you. George caught this, and on page 26, line 17, and on line 23, and on page 28, line 19, the "mays" in those lines should be changed to "shall." And as I said, as we drafted this, that was the way they were listed. We inadvertently had a "may" in there instead of a "shall." On page 26, line 17, it would change from filed before January 1, 2011, "shall" be considered, instead of "may" be considered. On line 23, it would be the same thing, January 1, 2011, "shall" be