

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 17, 2005

LB 312

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I think this is a great idea. But I just really don't know what the ramifications are. I think that this is something that the Legislature ought to look at. And as they talked about other amendments and the other parts of what we've done, this ought to be part of what we do, going forward. I don't know if I have enough information of how...what the ramifications are, as Senator Landis mentioned, to accept this at this time. A lot of good ideas have come. And we've said, you know, we're not going to spend any more money than this huge amount of money that we're already spending. So we've not added things that we wanted to do. And some of those are the issues that we've been discussing this morning. We, a couple...probably a month ago, said, this is the amount of money within the package that we're going to spend; you don't come to us, anybody that's interested in incentives, unless you can take more money out of it, or the same amount of money out of it as you add to it. And I...because of that, I've got to stay with that fact going from here forward. Because there are a lot of good ideas that I've turned down and said that I'm not going to accept because of the fact that they do cost more money. And because of that, I think we ought to look at this going forward. We ought to bring up a study and a bill next year to put this in our incentive package, because I think it's a laudable suggestion, and we ought to know all the details before we put it into law.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, let me just make a comment on what we're doing here to ourselves, long term, and I'm going to be part of doing it so...but I think we should be conscious of some things. With this incentive package, along with everything else we're doing this session, but largely as a result of this incentive package, you all are aware, if you look at your green sheet, that we end up creating \$235 million deficit four years out, \$235 million. Now, you can get rid of about half of that deficit if you change the law with regard to property tax and simply say, as the law says now, we're not going to drop the school levy from \$1.05 to \$1.00. Okay? So you cut that deficit roughly in half if you