

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 12, 2005

LB 683A

you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you, Mr. President, members. More on my, I guess, is an explanation of the vote. We had a discussion in the Government Affairs Committee about the salaries, and we did discuss it. I thought we had some rationale in what we did. It's not a big issue with me, in terms of what the body wants to do, or what Senator Chambers wants to do. I have a problem with what is going on in the world today, the United States today, if you will, with executive salaries, a board setting salaries, hybrid...where we've had, between corporate boards, they set each other's salaries. It's kind of a general thought about that, where we're getting into millions. We're joining that crowd because other states are raising their salaries. I don't know whether \$114,000 versus \$100,000 is right, or whether it's not. I just feel that we're getting our salaries plenty high to attract good candidates. Serving in constitutional offices and a lot of these things, even the things that we're doing, it is not only about money. It's about service to our state and to our country, or whatever you want to say. We also have good, good perks. Our health insurance, many of those things, I guess, the constitutional officers get those. It isn't that bad of a job. I just...I don't care so much of what the rest does. I'm not going to vote for the bill, and I won't vote for the override, under the present circumstances, if this goes through. It's not a threat, it's just my personal opinion. If the rest of you think they're underfunded, that's...or at this point, that's fine. I just...I guess I felt compelled to explain my vote. I didn't talk about it. It's just that I think we're riding a fairly high rail that is not necessary at this point. A hundred thousand dollars a year is not bad money for most of us. I'd rather give more on the lower end. I have long railed, by the way, against the two-level society that we're headed toward--the rich get richer, the poor stay poor. And I would rather, by and large, have more on the lower levels of work get more money, and I don't know...I don't think executives need all the money that they are earning, in many cases. If you're an owner of the company, it's a little different, but those where you're working for a corporation and continually raise their