

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 12, 2005

LB 427

think we should discriminate either, but I think it's inappropriate when we start putting the wording like this in, in this bill, that it should...a certain something should be irrelevant. Then where do you stop? I think we ought to have something here should be irrelevant to discriminate against somebody that might be short and ugly or overweight or...no, I was talking about me...or gray hair, or with a beard, or whatever. You know, it just seems like it's kind of silly to start putting this in here. You know what we should put as much emphasis on, I think, is promoting good families where a father and a mother and a safe place to grow up, grow children. You know, and my heart goes out to many, many mothers that have...that have probably been abused and end up being the sole provider of her children, but those poor kids are the ones that suffer, that don't have a dad and the mother has to work and try to be a mom and work and everything else. And how, when we talk about early childhood development and child abuse and everything like that, it seems like we should try to promote as much as anything a good healthy family than as the effort that we put in to try to...to try to say that we can't discriminate against somebody that I don't believe we're discriminating against. But I think it's irrelevant to put something like this in a budget bill. I do not want to discriminate against and I don't want to be accused of, because I vote no on this, that I will. We voted yes on the last bill. That's okay. It was even with some institutions that already had in their policy that they would not discriminate. So I don't think it was necessary there, but it is there and that's fine. But I think I have to vote no on this. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the body. I don't believe it's a secret that I have been disturbed by the process of bringing bills that were not passed through their regular process into the budget and throwing them in and passing them by just putting the language or the money there. So I would say that Senator Erdman had a very valid issue with this amendment. Having said that, I'm going to thank Senator Landis because I think you're really trying to find some language and middle ground that can address the concerns that