May 12, 2005   LB 427

leader in this body.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR ERDMAN: I'm going to vote against the Landis amendment. And honestly, Senator Landis, it is irrelevant, it flat out is, but the example that I gave, Senator Landis, is just as applicable to this situation as any other. You are making it an issue. You are making it an issue by saying, if I may read from Senator Landis' quotes . from two years ago, we should discriminate against the lazy, the irresponsible, the inept, the people who won't take responsibility for themselves or others, who can't be trusted with responsibility in the work force...workplace, excuse me. Absolutely. That should be the standard.   But Senator Jensen is right, you will give individuals the opportunity to use other reasons to get out of those reasons that they should be fired. And we should do this correctly. We should do it properly. And if we're going to ruin the process or we're going to gut bills, get Senator Chambers' bill that he can't get out of committee, get it up here on the floor on Senator Landis' bill,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...that he wants to gut, and let's do it the right way and let's have the discussion.   Thank   you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Kremer, followed by Senator Redfield and others.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body.   It's real easy to sit here and not put your light on and listen to the debate, but I guess I had to give my two cents. I can't...I'm not an orator like Senator Friend or Senator Erdman, but I think it's just inappropriate that we put this wording in one of our budget bills. And I guess what prompted me to speak more than anything is it seems like if we vote against something like this then we are accused of being...promoting discrimination, and I think that is...that is just far wrong. It's exactly opposite of what it really is.   Because I   don't