

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 12, 2005

LB 427

such an institution as slavery, 1865 ostensibly ended a pattern of slavery in this country to a degree. Now, 200 years later we can step back and say we did that. We...people owned other people and used them for their personal gain and their economic gain. Well, Senator Chambers considers himself an abolitionist. I believe that our society is more complicated now than it's ever been, and 200 years ago it will be even more complicated...or 200 years from now it will be even more complicated. And my feeling is, and part of my philosophy is, 200 years from now somebody is going to say, in a society 200 years ago you used to eliminate fetuses? You used to eliminate human life? We as a society used to eliminate human life? That's my philosophy. That's my personal feeling. That's what I see out on the horizon. He considers himself an abolitionist; I do, too. That's why I'm out here, to preach against stuff like that. This particular amendment, and I'm going to tie all this together, issues like this are important. They're important 100 years from now. They're important 200 years from now. And the confusing part about an amendment like this is the following: There's sexual orientation, which I think we've established to a certain degree is not necessarily defined in our statutes, but there's also behavior out there, and often the two things being separate, often the two things, the two issues, being linked. I own a business. I've got two employees. They're both single, unmarried, and they proceed to have an affair. The affair, for one degree...for one reason or another, forces an effect, drives an effect on the workplace--the morale, the function, implementation of things--at least that's my determination as a boss, as a manager, that that environment and what they're putting into that environment could be destructive. The manager, me, whoever, fires both of those individuals. Now, it could be...it could be construed as a firing for particular behavior, but if sexual orientation is not perfectly defined and we don't slice through this convoluted...what I would deem a somewhat convoluted measure, lawyers are slick, some more slick than others. If I got fired by a manager, I'm single, and all I really think is that I got fired for doing what my sexual orientation tells me to do, to carry on with that heterosexual affair in that office place, the manager be...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.