

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 12, 2005 LB 427

isn't one of us in here that knows exactly what it does. We wanted to put in a state-of-the-art job training program, and I think we failed. Sure, we transferred some money in the eleventh hour, but we put it into a job training program that was created over ten years go. I think that's nonsense. The second reason I oppose this is because I think it lacks, what I would say, integrity. This thing was rammed down our throats in the eleventh hour. I talked to many members of the Appropriations Committee. They weren't even aware this was coming. I certainly wasn't aware it was coming, even though I do support the training. What we did last night lacked integrity. I think this was one of our darkest hours here in the Legislature because, you know what, if you don't have integrity you have nothing. I have never been so disappointed in what we did as I was last night. To have this rammed down our throats at the eleventh hour is absolutely wrong. You know, one of the senators, Senator Beutler had a question. He tried to...he tried to file an amendment when we first discussed this and he missed the boat, so he filed the amendment later in the evening. One person talked and the question was called. That is not how we should be running this process. You know why that question was called? Because that person could call it. That's wrong. That's wrong. We wasted, in my opinion, \$15 million of taxpayer money on an archaic job training program. But worse, worse, it was done with what I feel was a lack of integrity. We have no integrity, we have nothing, and we threw that in the trash last night as far as I'm concerned.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. (Visitors introduced.) Further discussion on the Pederson amendment? There are no lights on, Senator Pederson. Did you wish to close?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Thank you, Mr. President. I would just like to say that I'm sorry that Senator Bourne feels the way that he does. I know that the question was called. I didn't call the question, but somebody called the question, and later you decided not to call the question. We debated for another hour about the subject. This is not spending money at this point. What we have done is set money aside, and if that money in fact is not appropriately used, it comes back. It's not