

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 11, 2005

LB 425

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: So if I am a resident of Omaha, Nebraska, I can't go to the Council Bluffs Lutheran Family Services program, for example, and access them services under the auspices of the Iowa monies for...that are set aside for these types of activities for problem gamblers?

SENATOR McDONALD: No.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator McDonald, thank you for bringing this. I don't know...now, this \$500,000...I'm sorry, I have one more question, Senator,...\$500,000, that would put us at a total of what...of what amount of money for these activities?

SENATOR McDONALD: Well, they get...they get...the first \$500,000, then they get...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR McDONALD: ...1 percent, and this would put them at about \$1 million. The problem that we're facing is we have no preventive dollars also, and so this would help in the preventive. We have no advertising saying there is programs out there, and the reason they don't have any advertising, say they have programs, because they can't meet the need that we already have. So prevention is part of that.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Uh-huh. Okay, thank you, Senator McDonald. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Smith, followed by Senator Combs, on the McDonald amendment.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. And I appreciate Senator McDonald's efforts on this issue. I have done some work in the past in a very similar fashion, back before it was unconstitutional to touch the lottery, and where the dollars go, and I don't want to argue that issue at this point. But I think it's time that we evaluate where we are with the lottery and where we're headed. We know that we have gambling addictions, even if they come from Council Bluffs.