

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 11, 2005

LB 425

go down you can't fix them. So if it is the intent to now spend a large additional amount of money on this program, then it should come out of the General Fund, so we know where we are in terms of sustaining an ongoing program. If it's not intended to spend the money, and we're just socking the money away and holding it for some future purpose, then I'm not sure that that's a wise thing to do, because I think money should be...the money that's on the table should be there to compete with other interests. There may be other things that are more important to you than another \$1 million or \$2 million of training money. When I get another opportunity, I would like to ask Senator Engel and others how we'd arrived upon \$15 million. We have, in the past, historically, spent between \$1 million and \$2 million a year. And all of a sudden, it's going to \$7.5 million a year. And so I think we should have some explanation of why that amount of money is all of a sudden necessary. What are we going to do differently that suddenly requires a lot more money? And how will that relate to our ongoing business incentive programs? Again, I think it would be useful to at least have an opportunity to look at the criteria of the existing program, or Senator Engel's bill. I don't know what was in his bill. But if we're going to put a large amount of additional expenditure through this program, then let's take a look at how this program is governed, and how the money can and would be used. Those would be the things that would indicate to me that doing this out of the Cash Reserve Fund is a very abnormal process, and an inappropriate process. And it distorts...as far as the public is concerned, it distorts the amount of money we're spending on business incentives, because it's not going to show up as a General Fund expenditure. It will simply be a transfer under the Cash Fund. So I would like to ask you to consider, in the first instance, at least, here, taking the money out of the General Fund. It's...you're in a very handicapped position, in terms of looking at this with a broad perspective, because this is an appropriations bill, and I don't think that there's any way that we can look at the criteria for the program and make any changes to it. So it's like saying, we're going to put all this additional money into the program, but we're going to do it in such a way that nobody has an opportunity to look at the terms and conditions under which the money is going to be expended and see if this body wants to make any changes to that.