

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 11, 2005

LB 425

saying that we should do it. So I think that's the difference in this situation. But I think to say that we need to do this because there was an implied agreement is just not there. We didn't have that understanding, nobody that I've talked to, except trying to go back and reconstruct what people were thinking at the time that something was done several years ago. But there was no agreement made in that respect. And it's been made abundantly clear in that regard. This is just simply an effort, primarily by the large city, to try and get more money. That's all it amounts to. They haven't been hurt by any of this, as the...as Senator Redfield had shown the other day. The cash flow is still there. It's the same thing. So they haven't lost any money. It's just an effort to try and get more. Now, who wouldn't try to get more? But I think it's our responsibility to see to it that we don't just give away money. That's not the effort today. It's not going to be my effort at all. But if it comes to the situation of having to comply with the state law, I think that is my responsibility to bring that forward. So I don't think we've violated anything in that regard. And I think we just have to call this what it is. And I would urge we continue to reject the proposal, even though it's half of what was presented the other day, which we turned down. So with that, I request that you not approve this amendment by Senator Bourne. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Pederson. On with discussion. Senator Fischer, followed by Senator Erdman.

SENATOR FISCHER: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. As I'm looking at this amendment, I'm unclear on parts of it. And listening to the discussion, I'm unclear on for sure what this is saying. If I could ask Senator Bourne a question, please, or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Certainly.

SENATOR FISCHER: Thank you, Senator. A lot of the discussion I've been hearing seems to center on that this money is going to MIRF. And in that case, it certainly won't help any of the