

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 11, 2005

LB 425

no agreement, but there is a statute that Senator Stuthman can point to and says, this is appropriate. Same time, Senator Raikes is going to offer us an opportunity to fulfill a statutory option to go up to 5 percent, or to meet the match on special ed. Well, if you're going to vote for Senator Stuthman's, you should probably vote for Senator Raikes'. And if you're going to vote for Senator Stuthman's and you're going to vote for Senator Raikes', you should probably vote for Senator Bourne's. Because even though it's not in statute, there's a question of what the agreement was, and we should do our best to resolve that agreement, to make sure that the statute is clear about what we're going to do with our public policy. And then after you do that, you should probably vote for every other amendment to fund whatever people want to fund. Because there's no logic anymore about what it is that we're doing. This is a good idea; let's fund it. Absolutely. Absolutely. But I'm an interested observer within the body. I've got a list of 38 names here that I'm watching to see how they vote on the Bourne amendment. I think Senator Redfield is being consistent to the extent, on this issue. But at the same time, the global position, or the global reality of this process is, we have to pass a budget. How much of that money do you want to spend? We could spend it all. And going down the path we're going, we will. So I'm interested. I didn't vote on Senator Stuthman's amendment. I have a motion to reconsider. I flat-out do. And I may bring it up. I'm just interested to see how the body is going to react on certain motions and certain amendments, to see what the rationale is for why we do what we do. Because at this point, I can't explain it, other than, Senator Stuthman asked us with a realistic idea. It's a good idea. It's not something that I would generally oppose. But at this stage of the game, it doesn't solve the problem as I understand it. It's a stopgap. So I'm trying to understand where we're headed. And I am extremely interested. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Bourne. Senator Bourne waives off his opportunity. Senator Don Pederson.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Thank you, Mr. President. There are