TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

May 10, 2005 LB 500

think that's the theme that Senator Chambers would play out. Look, if there's money to be made in Nebraska, a company will come here. I wouldn't dispute that theory. I accept that On the other hand, if you were a businessman choosing between two offers, and one offer of them is, come and do your best to make money because I think there's a chance for profitability here, and come, there's a chance for profitability here and we'll give you \$20 million to do it, which one would Now Senator Chambers says that the person who picks you pick? the \$20 million, that option, has lost their reason, or it strains the credulity. If you start with Senator Chambers' premise, which is that this is not charity and altruism, that it's business, that it's self-interest, people will go where there's profit. For example, I don't think you can get somebody to come here with enough incentives so that the business will lose money. That's right. You can't create an incentive so great that it will bring a company to a location where, when settling there, they'll lose money. Got it. Making money is an absolute minimum; making more money, even better. Is there money to be made in Nebraska? Yes, there is. And I believe Senator Synowiecki will tell you. Scheels Sporting Goods, out in the lobby on the argument of fairness. We came here without the promise of assistance. We opened up stores without help. Why? Because we thought there was profit in Nebraska. And by the way, there is profit in Nebraska. We've got enough money to hire a lobbyist to come down here and protect our interest because we are doing pretty well. Great, fair understand perfectly. But they came here because there was profit in Nebraska, and that I think is the point that Senator Chambers is making. Now at a certain level and scope, that amount of employment and that investment gets into a national marketplace between states in which we fight to give those, as a staging area, our chance to make money here with the enhancements that states bring along. And those enhancements are greater. So even if you accept Senator Chambers' basic principle, which is that businesses do these decisions because they're in their self-interest, which, by the way, I agree with; and they won't go anyplace where they won't make money, I agree with; and they will only go someplace if they think they will make money, which I agree with; it doesn't answer the problem of what happens when there's two or three offers to go places and