

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 10, 2005

LB 90

they take eight years, then they drop off. So I assume some of these plants that are up and going--Trenton, Central City, Kearney, Sutherland, or Plainview--they will drop off from drawing credits as...and your flow chart here has taken that into account?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, if you look across the top.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay,...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: It's plant one, two, three...yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. So how close are...you fund it in total through 2010, and then you are short, starting in 2010, by how much?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, the flow chart at this time would show \$8 million...\$889,000, '09 and '10; and '10 and '11 would be \$8,764,000. And remember, that's based on the modest-size corn crop that we're producing now. A lot...there's some variables in here that we decided not to try to guess at, at this point.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. So the scheme that you have here is more front-loaded, in that...or, in that it funds it through 2010? Or is there a greater draw on the fund in that year?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: No, there's not a greater draw. If you want to look across under the General Fund transfer, there's \$1.5 million in 2004-05; then it goes...adds \$2.5 million, which goes to \$4 million, 2006 and '07, it goes to \$4 million; 2007 and '08, it goes to \$5.5 million, because that replaces \$1.5 million we did not fund at all a year ago...two years ago now; then it drops back to the \$2.5 million a year that I'm talking about.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. Have we taken into account...what if...as I...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...read this chart here that you had handed out