

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 10, 2005

LB 614A

this is the only time that it will happen, because this will establish a precedent, no matter what anybody says. There are many things which might seem to be very alluring, very helpful to a particular group who are a part of a larger scenario. If one segment of workers can get the Legislature to grant something that the other workers are not going to have, it is not a wise thing to do. Now, I would like to ask Senator Pahls a question or two, so that I can better discuss this.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Pahls, would you?

SENATOR PAHLS: Yes. Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What exactly does the bill do, the bill itself?

SENATOR PAHLS: Okay. The one part of the bill, Section 1, would give the...for fiscal...the next two years, the...a salary adjustment. Section 2 of the bill would, as you're indicating or as Senator Schimek, it would allow the board to help set the salary and make adjustments. But they could not do anything more than that money that would be appropriated in those years.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And no other group of workers will be treated that way.

SENATOR PAHLS: No. One thought in this matter is this the only group of workers of...I should say employees in this...like the building trades. The Electrical Division is the only one. There's no plumbing, no framing in that...of that same domain. There's no other division like that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could, as Senator Schimek suggested, this group negotiate with the state to obtain this concession in the same way that all others are obtained when there's a collective bargaining arrangement?

SENATOR PAHLS: It has been brought to my attention that this has been tried in the past, and I think this would be something that if we...to discuss in the upcoming next time around, it's...there's some history, some really interesting history on