

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 9, 2005

LB 90, 312

ahead of the curve. And what really should be happening with respect to our federal representatives is they should be figuring out a way to disengage the states from this detrimental competition that's taking place. I'm concerned about the downward spiral that Senator Raikes has described, but I'm even concerned about it in the next couple of years. Senator Landis, I don't know if you're on the floor, but I would like to run a couple of things by you, if I could. As I understand the fiscal impact of this bill and LB 90 and all of the related bills in the package we might be talking about, would it be an additional expenditure in the vicinity of about \$40 million, Senator Landis, once it's all in full play?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would you...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is that a reasonable guess?

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Beutler, depending on how you define it. If you were to take a look at this bill, the projection out, by year--next year, \$8 million, way short of what it's going to be--that's just because we're ramping up--\$18 million, \$21 million, \$26 million, \$34 million, \$40 million, \$44 million, \$59...I'm sorry, \$49 million. So...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Each year out?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR LANDIS: Those are as you go out. The...let's see if I've got this right. And that's adding the sales tax exemption with this. Now, that is not LB 90, with the ethanol money in it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, so that has another \$4 million a year, more or less, \$4 million or \$5 million.

SENATOR LANDIS: Three point five million dollars, that's right, for about seven years, I think, and it is not the training money that's been talked about for Senator Engel.