

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 9, 2005

LB 748

pipng that's going on in the state, and the implication is, is that there's miles and miles of double piping. I had handed out a drawing and at the top it says Prop. MUD and then TBS, which is town border station, at 174th Street and Fairview Road. And if you look at that legend, as I understand it there's about six blocks of double piping and that's simply because they tried to construct this town border station outside the city of Omaha. So I am at a loss to, number one, figure out how LB 748 allows for double piping. I don't see that it does. And, number two, the double piping, while it was a problem, the Legislature a number of years ago, I want to say four years ago, passed LB 78 and, as I understand it, LB 78 stopped the double piping. Now, again, maybe I'm wrong. Senator Landis certainly has a lot more expertise in this area than I do. I'm just simply trying to provide a place at the table for the municipal owned utility companies. If LB 748 is not the answer, help me craft something that is, but I don't believe that this is a double piping bill. This...it absolutely does not do that. We passed...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...LB 78 two or three years ago that stopped the double piping and, to my knowledge, that hasn't been repealed and is working. Now, maybe I'm wrong, and I'm sure Senator Landis will correct me if I'm wrong, but I don't think that's an issue. And as I understand it, the maps that Senator Landis is showing over there are from 1999. Now, again, I could be wrong; I don't know. That's what I'm trying to point out with this entire area. It's extremely confusing. I don't believe there's a double piping issue. I do believe LB 748 is a good start. Is it perfect? Perhaps not. Senator Landis wants us to get through these amendments so that he can get on with a kill motion. I don't believe that's what we are here to do. We are here; there's supposedly a problem; let's solve it. I don't think simply putting an IPP motion on the bill solves the problem, whatever the problem may be. And I think Senator Landis would agree with this. The problem is, is that you have two opposing entities that can't...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.