

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 6, 2005

LB 542

direction as far as facilitator, if we had some direction as far as people who are experts on tax policy...now I notice there's \$500,000 appropriated for this. Up to this point in time, the Water Policy Task Force, with 49 members and a 50th person just added, has only spent \$255,000. I question whether we need \$500,000, at least not when there's people like me who will volunteer to serve on it, to protect my own turf. So I've got more questions than answers. I like the concept, I like the idea, but I'm not so sure a task force composed of 49 state senators wouldn't accomplish just about as much, in its present form. So I'm not quite sure what I want to do. I think Senator Chambers' proposal probably isn't too bad, if we could get a little more input and get the bill back out here this session, and get it moving. I don't want to detain Senator Burling's priority bill when I think the concept is good. I'm just not comfortable with...it doesn't look like there's any focus to me. I hope that's helpful. Yes, I think the task force for water did work, and I think there was reasons it did, but there's some ingredients that I think are missing here. Thank you for your time.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. First of all, Senator Chambers, I am going to support your recommitment, but I'd like to...I'd just like to have you hear my first comment, before I go into the bill. I want to say I take very strong exception to your comment about cattle being hard on grassland and rangeland. I don't want to let that go, in terms...on the debate. Well-managed grassland, well-managed rangeland, livestock in general help it, and it does not destroy it. And I...if you really were interested in that, I think you could find experts more expert than I that would...could prove that point. But I just didn't want to let that go on the record without...but beyond that, I'm going to support Senator Chambers on the recommit to committee. This is not intended to be an affront to Senator Burling, not intended to be an affront to the Revenue Committee, but I firmly believe that that is the answer to this dilemma at this point. I don't know how intense or widespread or even passionate the discussion