

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, would you...if that's a question, would you phrase it...or if it's a comment?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: No, it's more of a comment actually. These kinds of appropriations for a specific purpose are dedicated to that. And this is more edification for the Legislature I think. And if the money is actually not used for that particular project during the biennium, then that would come back into the funds. It would lapse. But...so it's not money that is lost by doing this because, it's dedicated for a purpose and if they don't need it, it will come back.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. That makes it even better.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are you through, Senator? I guess everybody is through. I do not see any more lights on. Senator Beutler, your light did come on before I got to ask the question to close. You may talk.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, just for what it's worth to the body, when the court came in, they had prioritized their items. And their first priority were three court studies basically that we funded in the hundreds of thousands of dollars. Their next priority was a budget officer, which we didn't fund. Their next priority was drug court coordinator, which we did not fund. Their next priority after that were county court positions, a records clerk, an assistant clerk, and some equipment, and we didn't fund that. And then after that were these three small studies. So I'm just letting you know that these weren't high on the list. And I'm not sure why they should be treated differently, but that's up to the body. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Further discussion? Senator Thompson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: I was just...thank you, Mr. President. I was checking with our staff about this one, and I think this