

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

first instance, but it was a staff member who caught it, just so that the record is clear on that. Members of the Legislature, I've been given a new assignment around here today because I've taken so much interest in the condiments that are being served and the reaction of my colleagues, I am the complaint department. So if you all have any complaints about what the lobbyists have fed you, you just bring them to me and I will note them. But I'll tell you what's happening. They're serving you all that bad food hoping you will reach the point where you just stop coming and they won't be bothered with you. But if they stop giving you the food, you may take offense. And I'm going to talk about that every day that you all go over there and eat. Some of you all were whining because you say we don't stay here long enough. I work harder on the floor than anybody in here. You don't hear me crying and grumbling because there's no food. Why, think about old Mother Hubbard who went to the cupboard (laugh) to get her poor dog a bone. And when she got there, the cupboard was bare because the senators had been there and gone. Didn't even leave a bone for the dog. That's the way the senators are portrayed, and they make it possible to do that, and I'm going to do it. I'm using Senator Pederson's amendment to take the opportunity to say that, because his amendment is correct, there doesn't need to be a lot of explanation. But in all seriousness, I do want to mention something that will explain why, at least to Senator Pederson, I will not try to raise this amount from \$70,000. Larry Bare did see me in the hallway and he said, of all the people who really knew what would be entailed, I did not ask that person, which would have been Larry Bare, I didn't even think to ask him. But he said the \$70,000 will easily cover the transition costs. So I will not try to raise that amount. But here's what I will say. Since there's going to be a new Governor, we shouldn't maybe limit what "King Tom" is going... "King Thomas"... since he's the king now, he shouldn't be called Tom. That's too familiar. I don't know whether to call him His Majesty, His Highness, His Excellency, His Eminence. But really a burden that's somewhat unfair is being put on him, because he's not going to be able to do everything that people want him to do. And although I'm in favor of his running, I've mentioned to some of my colleagues that there's a difference between being a part of a several hundred member organization where you're not really