

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Madam President. I have enjoyed, you know, the conversation that we've had here. The thing that really does concern me is, you know, it was a priority bill at one time. It was a real concern of an obligation. It was passed. It was very good consideration and it had to do with property tax relief on the county level and trying to fulfill the state's obligation. The thing that, you know, concerns me is that when we, as a legislative body, have an obligation, what does that really mean? Does it mean that we don't have to abide by that? Does that mean that when a bill is submitted to us we can just forget about it, pay part of it, pay only a little bit of it? What does that really mean when we have a state obligation that was voted on by enough people to pass it? So I'm really always concerned about that, that, you know, it is a state obligation. So all I'm trying to do, I know there is money in there and it's close to what the amount that was established several years ago that it could not exceed. I really think that if that number means anything, that should be the obligation. I know it's kind of a first come, first serve, people that get to submit their claims to the state, they get paid first. And I think once counties know that is the procedure that has to take place, they will get their bills in there and submit their claims early on. And when the money is gone, it's gone. But I think, in my opinion, \$3,910,000, what does that mean? That means \$3,910,000. That doesn't mean \$3 million. That doesn't mean \$2 million. It don't mean \$1 million. It could mean \$1 million if that's all the claims that there were. Then it could mean that. But if there's claims for \$4,000,000, they can pay \$3,910,000 of those claims because that dollar should be in some fund, in some line item that is to take care of that part of it. So that is the thing that I'm concerned about. If we were serious about what the intent was and what the priority was in 1998 and what the bill realistically is, the bill is \$7,900,000 if we were going to fulfill what the intent was. But we're down to about half. And like Senator Kopplin said, you know, \$35 a day I think for us, and we have a new jail, I think our jail costs are \$100-some a day. But \$35 a day and then only paying half of that, so that's really only \$17 a day, is what it comes down to. That obligation isn't very great. And I'm just really concerned that, you know, what do we really mean when we put something in