

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

\$411,405, is what I'm asking for. It's not a large amount that I'm asking for, but I think, you know, I would like to see that amount up to what was placed into law in 2002. I'm going to see how the discussion goes, as far as what I intend to do with my amendment. So with that, those are my comments on the initial opening of it. And if anyone has any questions, I would attempt to try to answer those.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuthman. On with discussion, Senator Don Pederson.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I understand where Senator Stuthman is coming from in connection with this. It was not only Mr. President's bill, but I prioritized it when it was passed. And why was it passed at that time? It was passed during that time that we did many things in the Legislature in an effort to help the property tax situation, in this case in the counties. And that was the intention, and then we ran onto hard times, as you know, and this money fell by the wayside. But what is being asked for here is a restoration, basically, of money that it didn't get in those bad times. And we started out this session, and I informed all of the various agencies that one thing that we were not going to consider was restorations at this point. And of course, when we sent that, we were not in great shape financially. But nevertheless, people did respect that and did not come back in and ask for additional monies that they hadn't gotten during the several lean years. And I think in this particular case, I would ask that we consider that same thing and that we not go back and reimburse, because if we start reimbursing for everybody that did not get money during those lean years, then we would be broke. And I just think that we have to adhere to this approach. And the Governor, for example, this year, asked for 21 additional troopers. And that was a restoration, and we rejected that on the basis of the fact that it was a restoration. We did go back and we tried to fund that with what monies we felt we had available at that time, and we did fund five additional troopers. Now it's possible that we can still have some additional discussion with the Governor's office and see if we can possibly do anything, but it's based upon the current needs of the patrol, not the effort to restore