

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

because he still doesn't address the whole issue. That's why I believe it would be appropriate for us to have the discussion on a bill. I would believe it would be appropriate if it's the number that Senator Chambers introduced this year or even a bill that Senator Landis has. We have delayed the inevitable. Let's have the debate. Let's have that discussion on this floor. Let's lay out the arguments that Senator Chambers has that says this is going on in our society, and evidently Senator Chambers must know of situations; otherwise, he wouldn't have brought this amendment going on in state government in which an individual has been discriminated based on their choice of sexual orientation. So I would be interested in hearing that. Senator Foley has brought up in the Judiciary Committee there's been a lack of evidence, if you will, to sway the committee to advance the bill to accomplish the goal that Senator Chambers would seek to accomplish. So my thought is, let's have the debate. And if we go down this path with Senator Chambers' amendment, it may lead to the things that Senator Foley spoke of, that Senator Landis alluded to that we've already begun, but it will become more evident in this process than what it is now. The afternoon or this morning, I had a discussion with Senator Beutler, and I said, why is it that when the Appropriations Committee advances a bill that we can never, or we very seldom, address or attack the committee amendment? The Appropriations Committee can do that. We can address a bill on mental health reform. That gets sliced up in a second. The tax incentive bill is going to come out here and there is not going to be the same iron-clad idea behind those types of bills and behind that committee's work as...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...what you're going to have here. This is unique, and this is unique because we should be careful as to what we put into our state budget. The interesting part about this whole process is that it will lead us down that path. And while some may say we're already doing it, it will become more prevalent. And regardless of whether I'm on the pro side or the opposition side of any particular issue, it brings the politics into the policy that, to this point, has been minor if not void of the process. Let's think about what it is we're being asked