

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

Chambers' amendment. And in my term here in the Nebraska Legislature, the five years that I've been here, I think Senator Chambers, and even Senator Landis to the extent, have exactly expressed their attempts to help those that they feel are in need, and I think that's entirely appropriate. The interesting part, I guess, as we look at this...and Senator Landis is correct, there were other amendments that were adopted. Senator Chambers is also correct that there are bills that are introduced on this issue. And so while this may not be the controversial or the difficult issue that it is, I still believe it's inappropriate. Now the amendment that Senator Foley had offered us is on an issue that we can debate regularly, and Senator Landis has been a part of the discussion on the floor since I'm been here, and it has happened regularly, usually once a year. It's been a knock-down, drag-out. We have those types of fights and, at the end of the day, usually the status quo wins. There have been exceptions to that since I've been here. I have prioritized bills that we couldn't even get past General File. We've had debates on bills that have gone past first round that we've never even voted on as public policy, that have been reintroduced in front of the Judiciary Committee. So there is a uniqueness to this process, and that uniqueness generally comes on issues where there is philosophical opposition. Now the reason I bring up that in this opposition, when I voted for Senator Foley's amendment, I didn't vote for a new idea. There's a known issue that's been addressed. It's been addressed by other states. And the money that we were talking about was already going to be spent. Now the argument is, who is going to spend it? The Department of Health and Human Services would have had the authority to spend all \$500,000 of that over the next two years because federal funds are not limited to our appropriation. So you can make the argument, which I feel comfortable doing, that the money that Senator Foley was asking us to earmark and direct, was money that realistically would have been used for a purpose similar to what he asked us to do. The fact that it was specifically directed to a program that some found objectionable because of the limitation is well noted. What Senator Chambers is asking us to do today on this amendment and on this bill is to say that, even though he believes that those individuals that would violate his amendments are doing wrong, they can do wrong in private sector,