

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

younger man's clothes," and there was a time when I did--what impressed me and troubled me was when I read in the "Bible" that there were people called lepers and they were forced to cry out when people came near, about themselves, "unclean, unclean." They had been reduced to the level of things, unclean things at that, and whatever shred of dignity they may have tried to maintain was destroyed when they had to shout to any person who came near, "I'm unclean." And that seemed, to my young mind, so unfair, so wrong. When society adopts that attitude, it has to be counteracted. Those attitudes spread poison throughout the body politic. Somebody has to seek an antidote. That's what an amendment like this is designed to do. It is simply saying that no governmental agency in this state is going to discriminate against anybody on the basis of sexual orientation. How much time do I have, Madam President?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: You have a minute left, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There's a point that I want to make, but I don't have enough time in a minute, so I will stop now and turn on my light. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Kruse, your light is next.

SENATOR KRUSE: Madam President and members, thank you. I stand in strong support of this amendment. We've gone over this area before, so perhaps I anticipate some of what we are talking about. We are not talking about sexual activity here. Senator Chambers has said that, but let's underline it. We're not talking about homosexual activity. If there were a motion to approve of homosexual activity on this floor, I would vote against it. But hang on. If there were a motion to approve of heterosexual activity, I would vote against it. I know about some of these kinds of activities and I cannot give a blanket approval to that. That is not what we're talking about. We are talking here about the appearance of somebody who comes for employment, appearance of somebody comes to rent, or whatever. We are talking about a condition of birth. And that question has, you know, been debated, and there are people with some axe to grind who want to say different things about it. They simply