

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

Twenty-five years ago, the Women's Commission was dealing with a Governor and a Legislature who insisted that domestic violence is not a problem. We've come a long ways during that 25 years, and part of it is because of the Women's Commission. So what was the reason that the Appropriations went at it? You know, right or wrong, we looked at it in terms of advocacy. What percent of advocacy should the state pay for when this advocacy is to us? It was on that basis, and that basis alone. We went over all five of the commissions, advocacy commissions, and we found that they were each in a very different circumstance. I may not be able to finish this, but I will try to do it at another point. First, we looked at the Blind Commission. We saw that as giving assistance to a section of our population. They were doing a minimal amount of advocacy. We didn't feel we should be paying for advocacy to ourselves. Next, the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, a similar type of a judgment. Their target is to do the work with the deaf. Just as with the blind, this work would have to be done by somebody else if we didn't do it through these agencies, so we left that there. Mexican American was a very interesting discussion because we recognized that here's a new population coming in our midst. They can't organize. Our mission, we felt, was to reach out to these new residents in a way that would be helpful to them and, therefore, the Mexican American Commission was judged to be serving persons more. The most interesting of these discussions was on the Indian Affairs Commission where we are dealing with another nation. We should be sending ambassadors to that nation and they should send ambassadors to us. The question is, should we pay for those ambassadors, both directions? We didn't think we should but we felt that the chances of the Indian community coming together as a unit was slim to none. And I have a story to go along with that, because I was there at the forming of this commission and I can assure you there were 26 groups of Indians that we had to have represented in that. It doesn't come together easily. Then we come to the Women's Commission. We recognized that they do a tremendous job. There was never a question about the job that they do. But a far higher percentage of that was advocacy to the state. In the earlier days, 25 years ago, that task had to be represented by public dollars. In the present time,...