

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 5, 2005

LB 425

she wants, but I care about my community, and I want them to be funded. I want there to be libraries and swimming pools and police officers. So when she says that it's male bravado, well, if she things that, then I'm sorry. But it's not that at all. It's that I, while I'm a state senator, I also am cognizant of the impact that our decisions have on our communities. And I'll tell you what, we had an agreement. I've read you the transcripts. We had an agree to reinstate these funds. It's amazing to me. We have a budget before us that calls for 8 percent growth, and we cannot restore \$3 million as we agreed two years ago. That baffles me. Senator Engel and Senator Kruse both said they don't remember such an agreement. It's because they were excused, they weren't here. But 28 other people were here and only five people were absent. Senator Wehrbein, I could go through the members of the committee, they...we had an agreement. LB 440, in my mind, was dead on arrival. Now maybe Senator Thompson will remember it in a different way, but there was a lot of opposition to that bill. There were a number of cities. I went through and I've got the list from 2002-03, of what cities in the state received money from MIRF. It's extensive. It means a lot to those committees (sic). And I can remember that the bill was in trouble. And I'm not criticizing Senator Thompson, I know she introduced the bill, and it's not male bravado. I'm simply saying there were a number of people on this floor that got up and said, hey, I live in Beatrice, we got \$30,000. That's a swimming pool for my community. That bill was in trouble. And Senator Beutler introduced an amendment that I ended up supporting, it's in the transcripts, and the reason I supported it is because I felt we had an agreement we would contribute to solving the state's problems, quite honestly, on the backs of the municipalities and, in return, that money would come back in two years. If anybody wants the transcripts, I have them. If you want to prolong the debate, which I sense we don't, I could read them verbatim. There was an agreement. Twenty-eight of our colleagues said, okay, that makes some sense. That's the compromise. And now we're turning our backs on that, and that's disturbing to me. We're looking at a budget with 8 percent growth. Nobody has talked about that, and yet we can't even honor our commitments that we made two years ago.