

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 4, 2005

LB 542

engineers who design new vehicles for new conditions, new markets, and to move forward. Nobody on this commission that they're talking about brings any expertise. If the Governor, who was elected to office on a partisan basis, is going to make all of these appointments, you don't have anything on there about making sure there's a division on the basis of political party. No balance. You think the Governor is going to appoint somebody who may have opposed him or her? This is preposterous. This should not be...it's a waste of time, a waste of money, and it creates a false impression that you all know better than to accept. You can't just take hodge...a hodgepodge of people and say, this guy works in telecommunications, this woman is in production agriculture, and you put all these people together, and then the senators, none of whom has any expertise that would cause anybody who wants a study of this kind done to hire as a consultant. So you get a lot of people who might be well-intentioned, but they don't know anything, and they're going to come together. And we're supposed to take what they produce and build a tax policy on that basis? No. A thousand times, no. This bill needs to be consigned to the dustbin of well-meaning but worthless ideas. Nobody would run a business like this. When you hire a consultant, you don't just take people who are nice and who work in the same area you work. You take people who have honed and developed a specialized knowledge and ability to take you beyond what is going on now. Otherwise, you can do it yourself. Who in here is an expert on tax policy? They sometimes--and by "they," I mean senators--have to talk to staff members to figure out what an amendment is about, what the bill is about. And I certainly wouldn't want to have the staff around here do a study which is going to be the basis of the tax policy of this state. So why should I take senators, who know less than the staff members, and put them in that position, and kid myself into thinking that we're going to have a competent, professionally done, quality study. We know that's not going to happen. You all ought to be as frank and forthright about this as I am. If you do this, you take away the possibility of putting together a plan for a real study. You need people who already know a system for conducting a study, what kind of information to get, and how to process it, what to do with it, how to winnow it, take raw data, and cook it just right, so that you come up with a delectable...