

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 4, 2005

LB 737

The Claims Board had to take more time. These warrants are actually costing us even more money. And so, at this point, even though I felt a little bit more lenient, I suppose, in the committee to approve it, Senator Wehrbein, I agree with your concept and will support this amendment. And I do want to send the message out to people, if the state issues you a check, cash it. It's as simple as that. If you don't have good procedures in place, that's not good business practice, and maybe you should look at your business practice and maybe you should implement some changes to make sure that the money that is rightfully yours is rightfully claimed within a year, and you have an entire year to cash that warrant. So don't snooze and you won't lose. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Preister. Senator Beutler, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Schimek, members of the Legislature, I told Senator Mines maybe these folks ought to be delivered the ultimate...the ultimate insult from their particular perspective, that is, that they're running business like the government. (Laughter) But, having said that, I also think it's extremely unfair to take away all of their money, in the sense that these aren't...there is no claim here but that they didn't deliver the services that they were asked to deliver, that they didn't do it for a fair price. Have they been negligent? Yes. And I think it would be appropriate, as some other service institutions might do, a bank might do, to assess some kind of penalty or charge for coming back once, and a higher and bigger one for coming back twice. Because Senator Preister is certainly right, there is...there is a charge involved and all 49 of us sitting here and talking about this for a half hour at our salaries, that's a whole 10 bucks or something. (Laughter) But the point being, there is a cost to everybody for their negligence, and they ought to be penalized for that. But I don't...having been in a...run a small business myself at one point in time, these things can happen to companies, and I think they ought not to be penalized for the whole amount of the work that they did because they missed a one-year...a one-year deadline, especially if it's the first and only time they've done it. And even if it happened twice, why