

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 4, 2005

LB 737

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Okay. They're somehow under the Department of Corrections. And I would check with legal counsel to see if she has any other information on that.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: And I also have met with the Fiscal people when I seen them, and this just came on my radar screen as well, as I've had interactions and some relationship with the Cornhusker State Industries, and I want to confirm that...and I'm being told, Senator Cunningham, for your background, that there's zero general monies that go to salaries for Cornhusker State Industry (sic) employees. So I'm...the basis on my question, Senator Cunningham, is why we would bill or claim...produce this claim against the Department of Correctional Services' budget when Cornhusker State Industries has their own separate budget that is entire...is supposedly, philosophically, entirely self-sustaining and independent of the Department of Correctional Services' budget?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I guess I would have to do some more research on that, but I would tell you this person was hired by the Department of Corrections. They're the ones that hired him and that's where all of the e-mails back and forth went for what his...what they were going to pay for moving expenses.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Okay. Yeah, perhaps we'll continue the dialogue and try to...

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I'll have to...I'll have to try to find out.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...get to the bottom of this. It's my understanding this particular employee works in the laundry service for the department...or, excuse me, for the Cornhusker State Industries, which is an entirely separate identity and self-sustaining, at least that's what they indicate on their web sites and that sort of thing. And I would be a little apprehensive of billing Department of Correctional Services for an expense that is incurred by an employee...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.