

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 4, 2005

LB 737

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: It indicates that this particular employee moved to Omaha to work for the Department of Correctional Services to run the laundry, coordinator, but isn't that a Cornhusker State Industries operation?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I believe it is, Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: And what is the...isn't the Cornhusker State Industries' relationship relative to Department of Corrections entirely separate, entirely self-sustaining? And given that premise, which I believe is true, why are we...what is the purpose and the reasoning behind this claim? I guess the allowable maximum for moving expenses is \$12,000. Does that necessarily apply to Cornhusker State Industries?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I think it does, Senator, and that comes out of the Department of Correctional Services. It isn't a General Fund appropriation. But my understanding, that is under the Department of Corrections.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Now, this will come out of Department of Correctional Services then, this \$4,000?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: But isn't Cornhusker State Industries...I know, from what I understand, their salaries and them sorts of things are entirely separate, entirely self-sustaining, so why would we take from the Department of Correctional Services' budget money to pay this claim when the employee actually, in fact, works for Cornhusker State Industries?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I guess I'm a little bit at a loss, Senator Synowiecki. I don't know that. All I was to understand is the Cornhusker...what are they called again?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: CSI, Cornhusker State Industries.