

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 4, 2005

LB 425

someone who worked the system. And in the end she said, you know, I'm just so sick of this hassle, I might have to go out and get a job. And the reason I tell you that story is because, the way I read Senator Foley's amendment, right now we don't allow people who are currently in our system who get pregnant within the time frame that we set in our welfare reform of two years to get additional payments for their family. But they could come in through this program, a back door, and find a different way to get this "assistance" that we aren't even sure what it is. I mean, you're going to give another way for people who...to work the system when we really want this to help this...I believe Senator Foley wants this money to help people who, as he said at the beginning, for socioeconomic reasons, may choose to terminate a pregnancy. Now his bill doesn't say anything about...this amendment doesn't say anything about it, but I think we need to take this back, create it as a specific item that would be heard by the Appropriations Committee next year or as a bill in the Legislature for General Fund money, rather than put it into some fuzzy thing that could have unintended consequences that are in direct conflict with the current policy of the state. And if Senator Jensen is available, I'd like to ask him a question. Senator Jensen, I wasn't here during welfare reform when the state decided to put the two-year limit in and restricted payments to women who become pregnant. Could you tell me what the philosophy was behind that and how that particular piece came into effect? I know that was controversial not to provide assistance to a family that had another baby, but I'd like to know about that.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Jensen, would you yield?

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes, Senator Cudaback and members of the Legislature. And it was controversial when we passed welfare reform and, yes, we did put a 10-month period on there that if you are on assistance and you become pregnant, you do not get additional cash benefits for 10 months. And the whole reason for that is to, so that we didn't have people that were, oh, perhaps getting pregnant on purpose so they can get more dollars for child care...not child care, but for themselves and for their children. So that was put on there. And I think the welfare reform has worked for Nebraska, and as a matter of fact,