

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 4, 2005

LB 425

support themselves. And we made public policy decisions...and this is why I'm concerned about the way this bill is amended. Let me just give you an example. We do not allow a payment for a family...this is our current law...shall be based upon family size. Any child born into the recipient family after the initial ten months of participation in the program--this is our two-year program for dependent children who need assistance--shall not increase the cash assistance payment, and so forth. That is the policy of the state. A person could come in under this program then and ask for more assistance than we statutorily allow in our other section of statute dealing with the same funds. This bill...this should be a bill. This needs a hearing. This needs...shouldn't be intent language on a budget bill. It's more complicated than that. It started off, as you know, from a proposal that was brought to the Appropriations Committee, that we didn't know about until the day of the committee, nor did our staff. So we didn't have a whole lot of preparation for it, other than to ask questions there, and spent quite a bit of time discussing it later on, and how this would be bid, how this would happen, and so forth. And we made the decision not to use that money for that purpose, because it had problems that way. I think you got the same problems with this amendment as it is written. You're creating something that has one sentence. This is all it says: Funds shall be used for a pilot program to provide assistance to women who are pregnant or who believe they may be pregnant. That's all that this says. That's the whole guidance in this statutory...or, not...in this intent language. I think that's very, very wide open. I think it may be in conflict with other parts of statute by amending it into the grant that's coming from the federal government for the bonus money for...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR THOMPSON: ...our performance as a state in administering these funds. This should be a General Fund appropriation. This should be a bill. This should be creating a program. This should be...have a hearing. This isn't...this is very late in the process. And I'm going to cite some other sections of statute that I believe it's in conflict in...with. I think it needs to be straightened out. If we want to do some