

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 28, 2005 LB 645

sections that represent, it appears, a kind of moratorium until a date a couple of years off, at which time there would be another decision made. As I read the bill, if we're going to be primarily concerned at this time with the permanent changes you're proposing, then we should be concerned with Section 2 of the bill, which I understand to be permanent change, and Section 3 of the bill, which I understand to be a permanent change. So is that much right, so far, that 2 and 3--and we can talk about what's in there shortly--but that 2 and 3, Section 2 and 3 are the ones that we should be concerned about, in the sense that you are proposing permanent changes? Is that accurate? I would yield time to Senator Brashear.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, Senator Beutler, your characterization is accurate; 2 and 3 are the ones that deal with permanent change.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you. Members of the Legislature, I would suggest that we focus primarily on those two sections, not that the others should not be scrutinized greatly, too, but these are the things in the bill that we're making a decision on today, and it will be difficult to change that, once this becomes law. Section 2 says this: Except as provided in a large number of identified statutes, that an agency or political subdivision of the state shall not...that's any agency of the state, or any political subdivision of the state, shall not provide on a retail or wholesale basis, any broadband services, Internet services, telecommunications services, or video services; shall not be issued a certificate of convenience and necessity as a telecommunications common carrier--and I think we need to ask about what that...what prohibitions are contained therein--or be issued a permit as a telecommunications contract carrier. And then it says, these provisions that I just read, shall not apply to any public power supplier. So apparently in Section 2, what we need to think about, is other than public power suppliers, do we want to permanently prohibit an agency or political subdivision, like a city--and now we know we have many cities providing, for example, electrical retail services--do we want to prohibit permanently cities and other political