April 27, 2005   LB 117

Vehicles and get other information. And since they're cops, they get everything. Oh, you didn't know that cops commit crimes? You didn't know cops have been convicted of rape, assaulting little boys and little girls, committing acts of violence against women, stalking women? You didn't know that? Oh, you trust the cops that much? I don't. If this amendment--I know Senator Beutler is going to pull it at this stage--if this amendment gets on the bill, then I am going to do everything I can to kill the bill. And you'll get cloture. I know you will. But in the process, people will pay attention, because they know another cloture vote is coming, and maybe the media will report some of the criticisms I'm making of the bill, and they will...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...ask some of the supporters to show that what I'm saying is untrue. Did you say time, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I did, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. Senator Brown, on AM1181, offered by Senator Beutler to FA192.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Mr. President. To sort of continue the conversation about the issue of the logbook, there are the two different pieces of what the logbook does. There's the piece that the signing of the logbook serves as prevention because individuals who come in who are going to do something with it are deterred somewhat by the prospect of having to sign the logbook. But can you then get that converted...is it reasonable to expect that the retailer is going to input that information immediately by hand into some sort of a computer that would then provide the database? As I said before, I have a bill that...about point of sale, capturing information off of a driver's license. It's something that we restricted when we did the digital driver's license a few years ago, restricted the capturing of information. But with that, then the question becomes, do you use it for investigatory purposes without