TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

April 27, 2005 LB 117

Senator Bourne might feel that I've said, it's weak; I've repeated that in whatever form a bill such as this. I even added, Senator Bourne, that if they put the logbook in, because of the small percentage of meth that would be affected, if the bill is 100 percent successful, it's not going to have any significant impact on the amount of meth available or being used. So I would say no matter what form a bill of this kind takes, it's not going to accomplish the desired purpose. Such being the case, don't let it encroach on the rights of law-abiding citizens. That's what I've said, that's what I mean. I don't think this bill is worse than any other bill, and I don't think those other bills are any better. All of them are futile attempts, they're jousting at windmills, to give themselves the feeling that they're doing something when they know they're not. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion of FA205, Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I wanted to touch on a couple of things or just a...I guess move along a consistent theme. Senator Chambers has brought up a consistent theme out here. I think he has been consistent, but more or less that something like this isn't I think he has been going to do any good. I mean, we were talking abut it this morning that we're going down a road with legislation that is more or less going to turn out to be ineffectual. I would say that the proverbial jury is out on that. Well, how do we know I think that there's precedent out there from other communities, other states, that shows that legislation, even though this is not necessarily a model of any other state, that legislation like this has made a difference. We heard that in the Judiciary Committee hearing. Now let me move on a little bit and try to maybe give an indirect analogy that I thought applied nonetheless. I don't think we need to remove this piece, I think, FA205, to the committee amendment. I think adopting this would be a bad idea. I think that what we...I don't think it would be a good idea at all because I think what we're trying to do here, or at least what this piece tried to do, is to promote or provide some responsibility in regard to the purchase and the, I guess the potential use. Senator Bourne