

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 27, 2005 LB 117

Congress, don't mess with this or your contributions from us will be diminished. Nothing is going to be done. If a point is reached where other substitutes are found, then the federal government may step in and say, we think it's time to do something about this, and they might take a step, because the drug industry will have righted itself, it will have enough of these products...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...available, and they won't need pseudoephedrine. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, your light is next.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Janssen, members of the Legislature, I think now we're on a section that is very circumscribed in its effect. It basically says that any person knowingly and intentionally possessing a firearm shall be punished by the next higher penalty classification. Is that the section we're on, Senator Bourne? Is it not? Okay. The...it says they'll be punished in the next higher classification than the penalty prescribed in the various sections of the bill for the basic underlying offenses. And all of those penalties are felonies to begin with. In other words, they're very harsh penalties to begin with. When you move them up to another level, I'm not sure that does anything in terms of deterrence. And the thing that bothers me about that sort of approach, not only the fact that I don't think it really has any deterrent effect once you're at that high level of offense, anyway, but it's that we're once again giving prosecutors and judges the opportunity to put people in jail for a longer period of time, which is the very thing that Senator Bourne has been fighting against, and doing a good job of fighting against; that is, getting people out of the prisons and into treatment. And it seems to me, what bothers me a little bit about this, in addition to the fact that I don't think it will be effective, is that it continues to emphasize the severity of the penalty, as opposed to the certainty of prosecution. I think one thing that's remained true in the law over the years--and there are many things in the