

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 27, 2005      LB 117

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then why don't we do that...

SENATOR AGUILAR: May I expand on that just a little bit?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why...are you willing to do that in the state of Nebraska?

SENATOR AGUILAR: First...let me explain it, if you'll give me the time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then will you give me some of yours? Because this is might be my...

SENATOR AGUILAR: Absolutely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...last shot. Okay.

SENATOR AGUILAR: First of all, from what we heard in committee, I believe it's Pfizer, one of the pharmaceutical companies, is trying to come out with a product that replaces pseudoephedrine and accomplishes the same purpose. What I would do at this time is encourage more pharmacies to get on board with that philosophy and do that. If they can produce a product that gives the same relief as pseudoephedrine without having a...without being a precursor for methamphetamine...

SENATOR JANSSEN PRESIDING

SENATOR JANSSEN: One minute.

SENATOR AGUILAR: ...manufacturing, why can't we all benefit by that? Why can't we do it? Is it because the pharmacies are worried too much about the bottom line? Are they selling too much pseudoephedrine? Is that the problem here?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm talking about the drug manufacturers who produce the products, not the pharmacists who dispense them. The drug industry is controlled by the federal government. The federal government can put restrictions on what products they use. The federal government, however, will not do that if any substantial percentage, or if a large manufacturer tells