

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 27, 2005      LB 117

And that really wasn't a criteria, an important criteria for me. If it stopped one, if it saved one life, that's worthwhile to me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if the labs are discovered not through good police work, but because one blows up and somebody is hurt, this is not going to put another tool in the hands of the police, in as far as their nosing around and finding these labs. Isn't that true?

SENATOR AGUILAR: I'm not exactly sure. It wouldn't help in that case if everybody died in the explosion. But there's others that hopefully would be prevented from doing the same thing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So this is a bill full of hope and wishing. And I'm not saying that to put anybody down. In reality, that's the most that can be said about this bill. There's a hope that it will do some good. Isn't that true?

SENATOR AGUILAR: Not at all. Of course there is a hope, but that's not the only reason. There's facts out there that says it will prevent labs. It's obvious, if you take some of the pseudoephedrine, restrict the sales so that not as much is available, then it's going to do some prevention work at the same time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is this going to restrict the sales sufficiently to cause those who are the cooks now to go out of business?

SENATOR AGUILAR: If I had my choice, it would restrict it even more, but...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, not your choice. Okay, let's say you'd restrict it...the best thing to do would be to ban pseudoephedrine, wouldn't it? That would be the best thing.

SENATOR AGUILAR: I couldn't agree more. (Inaudible) expand on that...