

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 27, 2005      LB 117

willing, I'd like to ask him that question, have a dialogue on that very issue, because I think we both have a position that we both believe is logical.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: First of all, Senator Flood, I'm delighted to have an exchange with somebody as thoughtful as yourself. And that's not being...that's not cheesing up. First of all, tobacco and alcohol have already been restricted in their availability by the law. You have to be a certain age. With Sudafed and these other products, there is no such restriction. There is nothing harmful about them whatsoever. The same cannot be said about alcohol and tobacco. So I do not want to see these legal products restricted. But if the pseudoephedrine, any other product, by whatever name, part of it, is as harmful as people are saying and is resulting in death, ban it. Ban it completely from this state, so that nobody can legally have it, these manufacturers cannot send products into this state that have it. That's what I would say, if what I'm hearing is really true.

SENATOR FLOOD: What would you think of making it a Schedule V drug, so that people that have the cold symptoms can get relief after they see a provider that's verified the fact that they are eligible for a cold remedy?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What we would be doing is jacking up the price, making it unavailable to the poor people, and increasing the income of pharmacies and doctors, which should not be even a side aspect to what is being attempted here. Putting it on the schedule is not the thing that ought to be done. Ban it, so it's not available to anybody.

SENATOR FLOOD: Okay. What if you're an indigent family from Bennington who has a cold, and it costs 15 bucks to get in the car, drive to Council Bluffs, drive back, to get cold medicine in Iowa, because you can't get even a prescription for it...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.