

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005 LB 114

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I probably would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You would oppose it.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I would probably oppose it, yes. (Laugh)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So we've come to loggerheads. I've given my reason as to why I think it should be done and I will listen to the opposition and see whether or not I'm persuaded. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Heard the opening on FA201. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Mr. President, I'm certainly not going to oppose it. So, while Senator Schimek has an opportunity to examine that amendment, I'm going to touch on this overall subject again. There are certain requirements that the law places on the duty of a parent to allow certain tests to be taken with reference to his or her child. One led to a law case that was decided a few weeks ago in Omaha. Blood is drawn from, I believe, the heel of a newborn or within a certain period of time after a child is born. Born. This family, for religious reasons, said no. Others, looking out for what they felt was the best interest of the child, went to court, or the parents went to court to not have it done, but in either case it wound up in court and the judge ruled that it was in the best interest of the child to have the blood test taken, the small amount of blood drawn, the test taken, and there were certain specific potential ailments that the test was designed to disclose. Were they not disclosed and were they to strike the child, there would be devastating consequences, so the judge ruled that the state's requirement was not unreasonable and, therefore, the parents could not prevent that from being done. There are certain requirements the state imposes with which I agree. Down through the years I have resisted what has come to be known as the Christian Science amendment. I haven't seen it surface lately, but when we would talk about child abuse, they would want to come running in here and saying that if it had violated your religion then you could whatever you wanted to do. And I was able to defeat that, which meant I was the antichrist to