

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005 LB 114

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on FA201.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, I'm going to attempt to improve on the language in the existing law. If you look on your gadget, you will see that my amendment directs your attention to page 3 of the bill, line 16. The word "for" is there. My amendment would strike the word "for" and substitute "to." The physical examination is provided "to" the child, not "for" the child. The visual examination and the immunization is to the child. So it would say...I guess I have to start way at the top: At the time the parent or guardian of any child is notified that such child must have a physical examination and a visual evaluation pursuant to the section, or immunizations pursuant to a section, the parent or guardian shall also be notified in writing of his or her right to submit a written statement refusing a physical examination, a visual evaluation, or immunization to his child, his or her child. Immunizations are given to...the word should be "to" rather than "for." That's my view. I thought Senator Schimek was listening. She might disagree. I'd like to ask Senator Schimek a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek, would you yield to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schimek, this is one of those amendments of mine with which you may disagree. On page 3 of the bill...and I'm not going to rush. You can take your time.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In line 16 I would strike the word "for" and substitute in its place the word "to."

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Oh. Yeah, I probably would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Say it again.