## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005 LB 40

SENATOR BOURNE: Certainly.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bourne, I'm particularly interested in the affordable housing aspect of it.

SENATOR BOURNE: As am I, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, so I think Senator Synowiecki's concerns may have been addressed, and he has something pending now, I think. If your amendment were to be offered, and if you choose not to and I agree with it, I will run with it because I think something has got to be done, how would that operate?

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, and Senator Chambers, I'm not trying to be evasive. I'm uncertain of all the details.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Uh-huh.

SENATOR BOURNE: But what I think it would...what was contemplated was some sort of an equitable allocation between the three, or amongst the three congressional districts, which of that...no one...not one district would get more than any other and not one district would get aced out, for lack of a better phrase, on these funds.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then it would be a division into three parts without reference to population, or how would the determination be made as to what each of the districts would receive?

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, it would have to be equitable. And if you recall from when we redistricted, each congressional district is of an equal number, basically, population, so that each district I think has roughly 430-some thousand individuals. So it would be...the point is, is try to be fair, try to be equitable in how the monies are allocated...

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.