

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005      LB 40

there could be an understanding for the state's statistical purposes, if nothing else, of how many transactions are taking place, where they're taking place, the relative value of them and so forth. So information that could be of value to the state for other purposes could still be derived from this process even if each county received out of that fund, dollar for dollar, the amount it paid in. Would that be true?

SENATOR REDFIELD: We actually have those figures. The counties would have them. The dollars go into the treasurer of each county and then they are forwarded to the State Treasurer, who distributes them to the funds in the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Suppose, instead of taking it back dollar for dollar, we would allow the state to keep 10 percent and then the 90 percent would come back to the county. Do you think that 10 percent would adequately recompense the state for being the collecting point for this money?

SENATOR REDFIELD: We're not actually collecting any administrative costs for the state in this transaction. There, as you look at the A bill when we get to it, you'll find that everything passes through and we are not taking any salary or per diem costs.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, I know that. I mean if it was to be done the way I was talking about, where the only role the state plays is for all of this documentary tax money to come to the state as a centralized location, then the money comes back to the counties, but the state, for being the collecting point, could get 10 percent. How about that?

SENATOR REDFIELD: I'd just as soon not pay any administrative costs since we don't currently, but I do think that we can create a mechanism that would distribute the funds more according to the formula that you're looking at.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, before you jump too quickly to the other side of the fence, let me ask you this. If it were done the way that I'm talking about and the state kept 10 percent and Douglas County got 90 percent back, would Douglas County realize more