

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005 LB 40

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's what I mean. Wherever...when money winds up in the General Fund, it has to come from someplace. When people on one hand don't pay taxes, people on the other hand will have to pay more. So this is the question I would ask you. Is it your and the Revenue Committee's position that this will be a wash; that somehow, when these giveaways are made available to these companies, nobody else and no other sector is going to have to make up what is not available as a result of what is given to these companies? Is that the Revenue Committee's position?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Yes, it would be the fact that the new economic activity would actually pay for the incentives through the growth.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that hasn't happened with LB 775 yet, has it?

SENATOR REDFIELD: There are some, and when I look at the numbers I believe it has paid for itself.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I'd like to ask Senator Connealy the same question.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Connealy.

SENATOR CONNEALY: No, I don't think you can say that. I think that this is a cost, but I think it's a cost that the Revenue Committee agrees to do. I think that being in the business of trying to grow our state, this is a cost (inaudible). I won't say that it's a fair trade and that we get...we get more dollars back for every dollar we spend, but I still am willing to be in the economic incentive package business.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. And I considered Senator Redfield's orientation on these matters, so I expected her answer and I gave it appropriate weight, which was none. Senator Connealy, these kind of situations that come up are supposed to at least