

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005 LB 40

SENATOR BEUTLER: About \$30 million? Okay. Thank you. Let me continue the conversation on my next opportunity to speak.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. We did change agenda items over the lunch hour and there were several lights on, so if you do not wish to address the new agenda item just say so. Senator Engel. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, so that I can say something on Senator Beutler's amendment before I forget it, I'll indicate that I'm still opposed to it today as I was yesterday. Some things require the earmarking of funds in order that they won't be ignored and kicked to the curb. When it comes to affordable housing and those who are in a set of circumstances where they need this kind of a system...assistance, the likelihood is that they will be shunted aside. What Senator Beutler is suggesting even now is that with the giveaways that Senator Redfield, Senator Landis, and their cohorts, and Senator Connealy intend to make available to the big shots, money is being sought now, and I don't want to start with this program giving them that money. I want to ask Senator Redfield a question. I think I know the answer, but I'm not sure. Senator Redfield, since Senator Beutler brought up the issue of these giveaways, as I call them, you didn't vote for the tax increases when we were referred to as the dirty thirty, did you?

SENATOR REDFIELD: No, I did not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you are willing to give these, I'm going to use you-all's terminology, incentives to businesses, correct?

SENATOR REDFIELD: I support parts of the package. Yes, I do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that is going to suck money out of the pot of revenue that the state would have available otherwise, isn't it?

SENATOR REDFIELD: It will not take General Fund dollars, but what it will do is reduce the revenue coming into General Fund.