

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 26, 2005 LB 117

deal with the meth problem. Having said that, let me first of all say, with regard to this amendment, basically what it says, and it's very short and I'll...and I'll read it to you, and this, again, was in the green copy of the bill, a person who would come into a seller's store and purchase one of the drug products that we're discussing in this particular bill, and those drug products are identified on lines 17 through 20 on page 16 of the amendment, for those of you who might want to follow, but they're basically pseudoephedrine and the other one that's too long to pronounce. I'll just call it the X component. But those two things cannot be sold without a...can be sold without a prescription only if they meet certain qualifications, which were described to you earlier when Senator Bourne described the committee amendment. As he was describing the committee amendment, he mentioned that the person who wished to buy these drug products had to show identification, but what they did not have to do would be to record their name to sign a logbook. And what this amendment says is the person selling the drug product will record the person's name, address, and date of birth, the date of purchase, and the amount of drug product purchased. The logbook shall be maintained for two years after the last sale logged in the book. The logbook shall be open to enforcement...law enforcement agencies, and may be viewed by law enforcement officers involved in drug enforcement. Let me tell you why I think that is important. A person comes in to buy these drugs and the law creates a 24-hour time period, and it says that you cannot purchase...oversimplifying somewhat, it says you cannot purchase more than X amount of these products within a 24-hour period, and if you do, there is a penalty, but the penalty is a very minor penalty. It is an infraction. And if the seller sells you more of that drug in the 24-hour period, they also are penalized, but it is, again, a very minor penalty. Now, if you're not recording the names of these persons in a logbook, then there is, for all effective purposes, no way that law enforcement is going to go back and figure out if anybody ever violated this law. They don't have a written record. They could go back and try to imagine where these drugs might have been sold and try to interview people, but that would be a terribly expensive process in order to ultimately assess somebody a \$100 fine. In other words, in my opinion, there will never be a conviction under this law because it is too difficult